

Subject code: I.3(1)	Subject name: Theory and practice of argumentation		
Study load: 2 ECTS	Load of contact hours: 40	Study semester: Autumn	Assessment: 5-point grade credit
Objectives:	The goal of this course is to create knowledge of basic principles and norms of argumentative analysis of speech; formation of their conscious and responsible attitude to speech, skill competently lead the discussion, the ability to recognize the tricks of unscrupulous speakers, to build reasonable and clear speech		
Course outline:	<p>Topics covered:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Theory of argumentation in the context of modern knowledge 2. The structure of argumentation and criticism 3. The dispute as a form of argumentative discourse 4. The specifics of scientific argumentation 5. Theory of argumentation in the context of modern knowledge 6. The structure of argumentation and criticism 7. A dispute as a form of argumentative discourse. 8. The specifics of scientific argumentation <p>Contact lessons will be divided into two parts: lectures and practical lessons.</p>		
Learning Outcomes:	<p>By the end of the course students (in the terms of knowledge, skills, and attitudes) should be able to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 – critically evaluate the modern theory of argumentation: basic concepts of argumentation, the logical basis of argumentation, pragmatists, theoretical propositions, and the communicative nature of the argumentative discourse and the argumentative nature of speech, on the connection of argumentation with logic and rhetoric; 2 – perform analysis of argumentative discourse, discussion and preparation of argumentative texts using basic techniques and rules. 		
Assessment Methods:	Assessment is split into two parts: tests and individual tasks		
Teacher(s):			
Prerequisite subject(s):	None		
Compulsory Literature:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Alekseev A.P. Teoriya argumentacii: klassicheskie idealy i tekhnologicheskie perspektivy. – M.: «Kogito-centr», 2010. – 76s. 2. Gerasimova I.A. Vvedenie v teoriyu i praktiku argumentacii / I.A. Gerasimova. – M.: Logos, 2007. – 310 s. 3. Demina L.A. Teoriya i praktika argumentacii. –M.: Norma; INFRA-M, 2014. – 272s. 4. Zajcev D.V. Teoriya i praktika argumentaci. – M.: ID «FORUM»; INFRA-M, 2015. – 224s.: il. 		

	5. Ivin A.A. Teoriya argumentacii. – M.: Gardariki, 2000. – 416s.								
Replacement Literature:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ivin, A.A. Argumentaciya v processah kommunikacii : monografiya / A.A. Ivin. - Moskva ; Berlin : Direkt-Media, 2015. - 555 s. 2. Ivlev YU.V. Teoriya i praktika argumentacii. – M.: Prospekt, 2015. – 288s. 3. Logika / pod red. A.I. Migunova, I.B. Mikirtumova, B.I. Federova. – M.: Prospekt, 2011. – 680s. 4. Rodos V. Pravila diskussii i ulovki spora.- M.: Ideya-press, 2008. – 232s. 5. Teoriya i praktika argumentacii : sb. nauch. trudov / pod red. I.A. Gerasimovoj. – M.: IF RAN, 2001. – 184s. 6. Homenko I.V. Logika. Teoriya i praktika argumentacii. – M.: YUrajt, 2017. – 327s. 								
Participation requirements:	Lower limit of lectures attendance is 80%, each test and individual project must be presented by end of the course.								
Independent work:									
Grading criteria scale or the minimal level necessary for passing the subject:	<table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td>Failed</td> <td>< 50 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Passed, grade 3</td> <td>50-69 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Passed, grade 4</td> <td>70-89 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Passed, grade 5</td> <td>90-100 points</td> </tr> </table> <p>Points distribution:</p> <p>Test on lectures: 50 points Test on practice: 50 points</p>	Failed	< 50 points	Passed, grade 3	50-69 points	Passed, grade 4	70-89 points	Passed, grade 5	90-100 points
Failed	< 50 points								
Passed, grade 3	50-69 points								
Passed, grade 4	70-89 points								
Passed, grade 5	90-100 points								
Information about the course:	Room ____, on ____ at ____								
Date 1	Theory of argumentation in the context of modern knowledge								
Date 2	The structure of argumentation and criticism								
Date 3	The dispute as a form of argumentative discourse								
Date 4	The specifics of scientific argumentation								
Date 5	Theory of argumentation in the context of modern knowledge								
Date 6	The structure of argumentation and criticism								
Date 7	A dispute as a form of argumentative discourse.								
Date 8	The specifics of scientific argumentation								