Subject code:	Subject name: Architecture of Computer Games		
G3.(2)			
Study load:	Load of contact	Study semester:	Assessment:
2 ECTS	hours: 50	Spring	5-point grade credit
Objectives:	The development of disciplines is the study of existing approaches to designing the architecture of gaming software applications, as well as complexes and tools for developing and supporting documentation of software systems. At the end of the course, the student should be able to design and evaluate the architecture of gaming applications of medium complexity.		
Course outline:	 Topics covered: 1. Introduction to the basics of developing the architecture of computer games and familiarization with key concepts. 2. Introduction to the design of the architecture of computer games. 3. An introduction to the development of computer game architecture. 4. Introduction to working with computer architecture design tools. 5. Introduction to working with tools for the development of computer game architecture. 6. Design architecture for computer games. 7. Patterns of architecture of computer games. 8. Analysis of the architecture of computer games. 9. Introduction to updating, finalizing and supporting the architecture of computer games. Contact lessons will be divided into two parts: lectures and workshops with individual and team tasks. 		
Learning Outcomes:	 In the end of the course students have achieved following skills: 1. Knowledge, skills and basic skills of designing the architecture of computer games. 2. Knowledge, skills and basic skills of developing the architecture of computer games. 3. Basic knowledge in the field of analysis, support and refinement of the architecture of computer games. 4. The development of existing technologies, templates and tools used when working with the architecture of computer games. 		
Assessment Methods:	Assessment of knowledge, skills and abilities characterizing the stages of formation of competencies in the framework of the study of discipline is carried out during the current and intermediate certification. Current certification is carried out in the form of a written-oral survey (individual). Interim certification includes theoretical questions that allow you to assess the level of knowledge		

	gained and the protection of the test, which allows you to assess the degree of formation of skills. When evaluating, quality grading scales are used.	
Teacher(s):	Vyacheslav Tarasov	
Prerequisite	1. The architecture of information systems.	
subject(s):	2. Operating systems	
Compulsory	Andrew Rollings, Dave Morris, Game Architecture and Design, 2005	
Literature:	Jason Gregory, Game Engine Architecture, 2009	
	Robert Nystrom, Game Programming Patterns, 2011	
Replacement	Mike McShaffry, Game Coding Complete, 2003	
Literature:	Andy Harris, Game Programming: The L Line, The Express Line to	
	Learning, 2007	
Participation	None.	
requirements:	None.	
requirements:		
Independent work:	None.	
Grading criteria scale	Points distribution:	
or the minimal level	Excellent - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific	
	answers, without gross errors, to basic questions, with possible	
necessary for passing	answers, without gross errors, to basic questions, with possible	
necessary for passing the subject:	inaccuracies in individual answers;	
necessary for passing the subject:	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no	
• • •	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors.	
• • •	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response,	
• • •	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject	
• • •	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is	
• • •	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject	
• • •	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is	
the subject:	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is	
the subject: Information about	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is incorrect, lack of orientation in the subject	
the subject: Information about	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is incorrect, lack of orientation in the subject	
the subject: Information about the course:	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is incorrect, lack of orientation in the subject Room, on at	
the subject: Information about the course:	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is incorrect, lack of orientation in the subject Room, on at Lecture 1	
the subject: Information about the course:	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is incorrect, lack of orientation in the subject Room, on at Lecture 1 Classroom presentation: analyze the purpose and materials of the course, the need to study the course	
the subject: Information about the course:	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is incorrect, lack of orientation in the subject Room, on at Lecture 1 Classroom presentation: analyze the purpose and materials of the course, the need to study the course Homework:	
the subject: Information about the course: 1) Date 1	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is incorrect, lack of orientation in the subject Room, on at Lecture 1 Classroom presentation: analyze the purpose and materials of the course, the need to study the course Homework: learning course objectives	
the subject: Information about the course:	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is incorrect, lack of orientation in the subject Room, on at Lecture 1 Classroom presentation: analyze the purpose and materials of the course, the need to study the course Homework: learning course objectives Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 1	
the subject: Information about the course: 1) Date 1	inaccuracies in individual answers; Good - Adequate knowledge of the material: correct and specific, no gross errors answers to basic questions, two or three gross errors. Satisfactory - There are a number of errors in the student's response, but there is orientation in the subject Unsatisfactory - Poor ownership of the material: the answer is incorrect, lack of orientation in the subject Room, on at Lecture 1 Classroom presentation: analyze the purpose and materials of the course, the need to study the course Homework: learning course objectives	

3) Date 3	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 2	
	Students presentations:	
	present a vision of architecture to be developed by a student	
4) Date 4	Lecture 2	
	Classroom presentation:	
	introduction to computer architecture design	
	Homework:	
	learn basic design concepts	
5) Date 5	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 3	
	Classroom test:	
	test on the basic concepts of designing the architecture of computer	
	games	
6) Date 6	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 4	
	Students presentations:	
	indicate which design concepts will be applied in project design	
7) Date 7	Lecture 3	
	Classroom presentation:	
	introduction to the development of computer game architecture	
	Homework:	
(0) \mathbf{D}_{0}	learning basic architecture design concepts	
8) Date 8	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 5	
	Classroom test:	
9) Date 9	development concept test	
9) Date 9	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 6	
	Students presentations:	
	indicate which development concepts and why will be used in the project	
10) Date 10	Lecture 4	
10) Date 10		
	Classroom presentation: introduction to computer architecture design tools	
	Homework:	
	learning design tools	
11) Date 11	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 7	
	Classroom test:	
	design tool test	
12) Date 12	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 8	
)	Students presentations:	
	indicate which design tools and why will be used in the project	
13) Date 13	Lecture 5	
	Classroom presentation:	
	Introduction to working with computer game architecture	
	development tools	
	Homework:	
	learning development tools	
14) Date 14	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 9	

	Classroom test:	
	development tool test	
15) Date 15	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 10	
	Students presentations:	
	indicate which development tools and why will be used in the project	
16) Date 16	Lecture 6	
	Classroom presentation:	
	computer game architecture design and computer game architecture	
	patterns	
	Homework:	
	learning design and architecture patterns	
17) Date 17	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 11	
	Classroom test:	
	design and architecture patterns test	
18) Date 18	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 12	
	Students presentations:	
	demonstrate implemented architecture patterns, indicate which and	
10) D (10	why will be used in the project	
19) Date 19	Lecture 7	
	Classroom presentation:	
	analysis of the architecture of computer games	
	Homework:	
20) Date 20	study of analysis methods and tools	
20) Date 20	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 13	
	Classroom test:	
	test on analysis methods and tools	
21) Date 21	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 14	
)	Students presentations:	
	existing computer game architectures research	
22) Date 22	Lecture 8	
,	Classroom presentation:	
	Introduction to updating, updating and supporting the architecture of	
	computer games	
	Homework:	
	study of methods for updating, finalizing and supporting architecture	
23) Date 23	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 15	
	Classroom test:	
	test methods for updating, finalizing and supporting architecture	
24) Date 24	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 16	
	Students presentations:	
	indicate how the update and support of the developed project	
	architecture will occur	
25) Date 25	Architecture of Computer Games Workshop 17	
	Students presentations: presentation and protection of the developed project	